1942

File No. Indus Dispute II/42

Legal **DEPARTMENT**

B. **BRANCH**

p. 9 (E) 1942

Subject:—

Rules and notifications

under the

Bombay Industrial Disputes

Act, 1938

File No. Indus Disputes II/42

Std. Sectt. Slip 24 B.e.

157-B.

C O N T E N T S.

Legal Department File No. Indus Disp II/42

Cr. No.	Compilation No.	Subject	Pages
		B. Industrial Dispute Act. 1938	
1.	U.R. to P. & S.D.No 760 dt. 27.1.42.	S. 3(18) Notfn. under	1-3
2.	U.R. to P.& S.D.No. 3162 dt. 11.5.42.	S. 76(2) Notfn under	5-8
3.	U.R. to P.& S.D.No. 5435 dt. 3.7.42.	Referring trade dispute to arbitration of Industrial Court	
4.	U.R. to P.& S.D.No. 9007 dt. 5.11.42.	S. 34(1) & (2) Notfn under	11-13

S.S.R.
5/5/56.

115
7/5

Notification

Political and Services Deptt.

Bombay Castle. Jany. 1942.

The Bombay Industrial Disputes Act. 1938.

No. 2847/38:- In exercise of thepowers conferred by clause (18) of section 3 of the Bombay Amstr Industrial Disputes Act. 1938(Bom. XXX of 1938), the Governm nt of Bombay is pleased to amend the G.N. in the P. and G.D..No. 2847/38-B dated the 30th May 1939 as follows, namely:-

In the list of local areas given in the said notification in entry No. 5 for the words "the Notified Area of Sabarmati" the word "the villa ge of Sabarmati" shall be substituted.

By order of the Governor of Bombay,

Chief Secretary to overnment.

B.A.K.
6/5/56.

Office of the R.L.A.

The draft notification atpage 82 ante as slightly amended is in order.

2. There is no legal objection to accepting the amendments suggested by the Commissioner of Labour at page 43 ante in the draft notification at pages 51-52 ante.

O.C. P.E.M.
26/1

Remembrancer of legal Affairs.

MM U.B. to P.& S. Deptt., No.780, dated the 27th 1-1942.

S.A.K.
4/5/56

Office of the R.L.A.

The draft notification onpage 153 ante, as slightly amended, is legally in order.

Sd/- M.H.D.

Remembrancer of Legal Affairs .

U.O. to P.& S.D.No. 3162, dated the 11th April 1942.

S.A.E.

4/5/56.

Notification

Political & Services Department.

Bombay Castle, March 1942.

No. 3580/34.. Whereas an award has been made on the 6th December 1941 by the Industrial Court (hereinafter called the "said award"). in the matter of a submission entered into between the Ahmedbad Ahmedabad M.O.A. and the T.L.A., Ahmedabad. agreeing to refer the dispute regarding the grant of bonus to cotton textile workers in Ahmedabad to the arbitration of the Industrial Court:

And whereas the said T.L.A. is a representative union of employees in the cotton textile industry in the local area comprising the Ahmedabad City Municipal Borough. the Ahmedabad Cantonmentand the village of Sabarmati:

And whereas the Provincial Government is of the opinion that the said award shall be made binding upon the employers specified in the Schedule annexed hereto and their employees engaged in the said industry in the said local area. under sub-section (2) of section 76 of the Bombay Industrial Disputes Act. 1938.

And whereas an opportunity of being heard under subsection (2) of section 76 of the said Act hasbeen given to the said employers and their employees:

Now. therefore. in exercise of thepowers conferred by sub-section (2) of the said section 76 the Government of Bombay of-Bombay is pleased to direct that the said award shall be binding upon the employers specified in the said Schedule and their employees engaged in the said industry in the said local are

Schedule.

(1) The Anant Mills Limited, Ahmedabad.

(2) The Motilal Hirabhai Spinning Weaving and Manufacturing Company Limited, A'bad.

(3) The City of Ahmedabad Spinning and Weaving Mills Limited, Ahmedabad.

By order of the Governor of Bombay.

Chief Secretary to Government.

S.A.K.
4/5

Office of the R.L.A.

The draft notificati-n at p. 13 ante as slightly amended is in order.

2. Paragraph 2 of the draft notification cites clause (2) of section 49A of the Bombay Industrial Dispute Act 1938 question wheth r the clause hasben appropriately cited is cnsof fact. The District Magistrats' reportiat p. 7 ante that the continuance of the dispute is likely to cause serious or prolonged hardship to a large section of the community. If this is correct clause (2) of the section may appropriately be cited a. the reasons for referring the trade dispute to the arbitration of the Industrial Court.

Sd/- P.N.Moos.

2/7

Remembrancer of Legal Affairs.

U.R. to P. and S. D.No. 5436, dated the 3rd July 1942.

S.A.K.

4/5

NOTIFICATION.

Political and Services Department.
Bombay Castle. November 1942.

Bombay Industrial Disputes Act, 1938.

No. 2955/34 In exercise of the power conferred by sub-sections (1) and (2) of section 24 of the Bombay Industrial Disputes Act, 1938 (Bom. XXX- XXV of 1938), the Government of Bombay is pleased to amend Government Notification in the, Political and Services Department No. 2955/34, dated the 19th May 1939 as follows, namely:-

In clause (a) of the said notification, for entries (2) and (3) the following entries shall be substituted, namely:-

"(2) Mr. G.S.Rajadhyaksha
I.C.S., District Judge, of Poona
(3) Mr. D.V.Vyas, I.C.S., District
Judge of Ahmedabad

By order of the Governor of Bombay

Chief Secretary to Government.

S.A.K.
4/5

Office of the H.L.A.

A revised draft notification is sent herewith.

O.C. H.K.D.
5/11

For Remembrancer of Legal Affairs.

U.H. to F.S S.D.No 9007. dated the 6th November 1942.

S.A.K.
5/5/56.